

FURTHER TO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING #7/04
To be held Friday, August 6, 2004

Pages

SECTION II - ITEMS FOR EXECUTIVE ACTION

7.1 ERRATA UPDATE SHEET 82-85

WITHDRAWN

7.1.20 PAUL BIGIONI

NEW PERMIT

TOWN OF CALEDON

7.1.40 PETER & SHELLEY CORMIER

To construct in a flood plain on Lot 11, Block 5, Plan BCL 7, (26 Mill Street), in the Town of Caledon, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Peter & Shelley Cormier. 86-87

NEW ITEM - ITEM 7.8

7.8 PROPOSED RIVER FESTIVAL 2005

Feasibility Study

88-89

7.1 APPLICATION FOR PERMITS UNDER ONTARIO REGULATION 158 Fill, Construction and Alteration to Waterways

Information has been received and reviewed by staff for the following Scheduled Errata items. The recommendations within the staff reports should be amended to include the following:

7.1.11 CITY OF BRAMPTON

- Letter of Undertaking provided by Piotr Szponar, P.Eng., Rand Engineering, dated August 4, 2004, to address outstanding TRCA Ecology and Engineering issues.

7.1.12 CITY OF BRAMPTON

- Letter of undertaking from M. Toccalino, of NAK Design Group, dated August 3, 2004 to address all outstanding TRCA Engineering issues.

7.1.13 REGION OF PEEL

- Letter of Undertaking from Rick Knight, P.Eng., from Marshall Macklin Monaghan, dated July 26, 2004, to address all outstanding engineering and planning ecology issues.

7.1.14 CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

- Plan View, prepared by L. Kan, dated July 21, 2004, stamped received by TRCA staff on July 23, 2004.
- Site Details, prepared by L. Kan, dated July 21, 2004, stamped received by TRCA staff on July 23, 2004

7.1.15 REGION OF PEEL

- Letter of Undertaking (to provide revisions to engineering drawings and restoration plans); dated July 28, 2004; received July 28, 2004; prepared by the Region of Peel.

7.1.16 REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL

- Letter of Undertaking provided by Arbinder Hundal, P.Eng., KMK Consultants, dated August 4, 2004 to address outstanding TRCA Ecology and Engineering issues.

7.1.17 REGION OF DURHAM

- Letter of Undertaking (to provide revisions to engineering drawings and restoration plans); dated July 27, 2004; received July 28, 2004; prepared by the Region of Durham.

7.1.18 AARON NEUFELD

- Drawing No. 1, Elevations; Drawing No. 2; Main Floor Plans; Drawing No. 3, Basement Plans; Drawing No. 4, Second Floor Plan; Drawing No. 5, Sections and Elevations; Drawing No. 6, Site Plan - Notes and Details; prepared by Aaron Neufeld, received August 4, 2004.
- Proposed Landscape Plan, prepared by Jonas Adda Landscape Planning Ltd., dated August 3, 2004, received August 4, 2004.

7.1.19 CITY OF TORONTO

- **Drawing Nos. 405-1 and 405-2, prepared by TSH, dated August 2004, received August 4, 2004.**

7.1.20 PAUL BIGIONI WITHDRAWN

7.1.21 BELL CANADA

- **Drawing No. 401-403, Cross Sections, prepared by Plantec Consulting Engineers, dated July 29, 2004, received August 3, 2004.**

7.1.22 PAUL GOODRAM

- **Drawing No. 1, Site Plan; Drawing No. 2, Basement Plan; Drawing No. 3, First Floor Plan; Drawing No. 4, Front Elevation; Drawing No. 5, Sections; Drawing No. 6, Existing Back Elevation; Drawing 7, Side Elevation; Drawing 8, Notes, prepared by Brad Liu, received August 3, 2004.**

7.1.23 JEWEL VALLEY DEVELOPMENT INC.

- **Drawing No. A-202-A-204, Typical Plans Unit Type 2; Drawing No. A-205-209, Elevations; Drawing No. A-210, Cross Sections; Drawing A-211-A-212, Wall Sections; Drawing No. A-213, General Notes; Drawing No. A-214- A-215, Typical Details, prepared by Venchiarutti Gagliardi Architect Inc., dated April 5, 2004, received July 20, 2004.**
- **Drawing No. L-1, Landscape Plan, prepared by Terraplan Landscape Architects, prepared January 2003, received July 20, 2004.**
- **Drawing No. S3-01, Schedules; Drawing S3-02-S3-04, Foundation Sections; prepared by LorEng, prepared on April 2004, received July 20, 2004.**
- **Drawing No.02-4180-SG1, Site Grading Plan; Drawing NO. 02-4180-SS1, Site Servicing Plan, prepared by Burnside, received July 20, 2004.**

7.1.24 FILOMENA LOMUTO

- **Site Servicing and Grading Plan, Drawing No. SSG-1, prepared by Valdor Engineering Inc., revised February 7, 2003, received February 21, 2003.**
- **Letter, Structural Engineering, prepared by John Crimi, Exsen Engineering Ltd., dated November 28, 2002, received February 21, 2003.**
- **Letter, Removal of Excess Fill Material, prepared by Vito Lomuto, Northview Auto Collision, dated February 11, 2003, received February 21, 2003.**

7.1.25 PARAMOUNT CANADA'S WONDERLAND

- **Red-Line Revised Proposed Grading and Servicing Plan, Drawing No. GR1, prepared by Marshall Macklin Monaghan, dated July 2004, received August 4, 2004.**
- **Notes and Details, Drawing No. NDX, prepared by Marshall Macklin Monaghan, dated July 2004, received August 4, 2004.**
- **Letter, Structural Engineer Confirmation, prepared by R.T. Richardson, R.V. Anderson Associates Limited, dated August 3, 2004, received August 4, 2004 - confirming that structures are able to withstand velocity of flows.**

- Flood Contingency/Emergency Evacuation Plan for Italian Job Roller Coaster at Paramount Canada's Wonderland, prepared by Paramount Canada's Wonderland, received August 4, 2004.
- Release and Indemnity Agreement, prepared by Paramount Canada's Wonderland, dated August 2, 2004, received August 4, 2004.
- Letter of Undertaking, prepared by Marshall Macklin Monaghan, dated August 4, 2004, received August 4, 2004.

7.1.26 JOHN BODDY DEVELOPMENTS LTD.

- Storm Drainage Plan (North) & (South), Drawings 102 & 103, prepared by Sabourin Kimble and Associates Ltd., dated July & October 2002, respectively, date received June 23, 2004.
- Stormwater Management Pond, Drawing 138, prepared by Sabourin Kimble and Associates Ltd., dated March 2002, date received June 23, 2004.
- Stormwater Management Pond Details, Drawings 139, 140 & 141, prepared by Sabourin Kimble and Associates Ltd., dated April 2002, date received June 23, 2004.
- Sediment & Erosion Control Plan, Drawing 142, prepared by Sabourin Kimble and Associates Ltd., dated February 2004, date received June 23, 2004.
- Lot Grading Plan (North East), (North West), (East Central), (West Central) (Southeast), & (Southwest), Drawings 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, prepared by Sabourin Kimble and Associates Ltd., dated July 2002, date received August 26, 2003.
- Red-line revised Stormwater Management Pond Restoration Planting Plan, Drawing RP1, prepared by Cosburn Giberson Consultants Inc., dated Oct. 7, 2003, revised April 26, 2004, date received April 28, 2004.
- Details, Drawing RP2, prepared by Cosburn Giberson Consultants Inc., dated Oct. 31, 2003, revised April 26, 2004, date received April 28, 2004.

7.1.27 CORNELL ROUGE DEVELOPMENT CORP.

- Letter of Undertaking committing the applicant to address the final remaining minor ecology and water management issues, dated and received August 4, 2004.

7.1.28 LARKIN MONARCH PARTNERSHIP

- Letter of Undertaking committing the applicant to address the final remaining minor ecology and water management issues, dated August 3, 2004, received August 4, 2004.

7.1.29 LINDVEST PROPERTIES (CORNELL) LTD.

- Letter of Undertaking committing the applicant to address the final remaining minor water management issues, dated and received August 4, 2004.

7.1.30 MADORI LTD.

- Drawing Number 134A, Interim Sediment Control Plan, prepared by Sabourin Kimble & Associates Ltd., dated July 2004, received by TRCA July 29, 2004.

7.1.31 DAVID SCOTT

- Site Plan, Scott Residence, prepared by Betz Pools Limited, dated July 21, 2004, received by the TRCA on August 3, 2004, redline revised to indicate sediment control fencing and the removal of excess fill material.
- Cross-section, Scott residence, received by the TRCA on August 3, 2004.

7.1.32 TOWNSHIP OF KING

- **Site Sketch and Key Plan, Drawing No. S1, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, redline revised on July 28, 2004 to add notes regarding the proposed seed mixes and the installation and maintenance of a temporary silt fence, received by the TRCA on July 29, 2004, seed mix redline revised by the TRCA on August 3, 2004.**
- **Walkway Typ. Section and Bridge Elevation, Drawing No. S2, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, redline revised on July 28, 2004 to add notes regarding the proposed seed mixes and that no in-stream works are proposed/permitted, received by the TRCA on July 29, 2004, seed mix redline revised by the TRCA on August 3, 2004.**
- **Bridge Framing Plan, Drawing No. S3, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, received by the TRCA on June 21, 2004.**
- **Abutment Elevation, Drawing No. S4, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, received by the TRCA on June 21, 2004.**
- **Bridge Section, Drawing No. S5, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, received by the TRCA on June 21, 2004.**
- **Abutment Detail and Bridge Anchoring, Drawing No. S6, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, received by the TRCA on June 21, 2004.**
- **Guard Details, Drawing No. S7, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, received by the TRCA on June 21, 2004.**
- **Guard Details, Drawing No. S8, prepared by R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, received by the TRCA on June 21, 2004.**
- **Light Duty Silt Fence Barrier, Ontario Provincial Standard Drawing 219.110, received by the TRCA on July 29, 2004.**
- **Old Field Mix as noted in Table 1 - Permanent Seed Mixes and Seed Certificate Analysis Values, Ontario Provincial Standard Specification 572, Construction Specification for Seed and Cover, revised November 2003, received by the TRCA on July 29, 2004.**

7.1.33 THE CHUD GROUP

- **Letter of Undertaking committing the applicant to address the final remaining minor water management concerns, dated and received August 4, 2004.**

TOWN OF CALEDON

7.1.40 PETER & SHELLEY CORMIER

To construct in a flood plain on Lot 11, Block 5, Plan BCL 7, (26 Mill Street), in the Town of Caledon, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Peter & Shelley Cormier.

The purpose is to construct and place fill within the Regulatory Floodplain and a Fill Regulated Area associated with a Special Policy Area to facilitate additions to an existing residential dwelling.

LOCATION MAP: 26 Mill Street



The permit will be issued for the period of August 6, 2004 to August 5, 2006 in accordance with the following documents and plans which form part of this permit:

- **Flood Assessment for 26 Mill Street, Bolton, drawing Figure 2, produced by R.J. Burnside and Associates Limited, stamped received by TRCA Development Services Section July 30, 2004.**
- **Flood Assessment letter provided by Tony Elias, P.Eng., R.J. Burnside and Associates, dated July 26, 2004, certifying that proposed additions do not increase flood risk for this structure.**
- **Site Plan, Addition to Residence - Mr. and Mrs. Peter Cormier - 26 Mill Street, Bolton, drawing number 2408-1, dated April, 2004, received by TRCA Development Services Section, July 30, 2004.**
- **Plans, Addition to Residence - Mr. and Mrs. Peter Cormier - 26 Mill Street, Bolton, drawing number 2408-2, dated April, 2004, received by TRCA Development Services Section, July 30, 2004.**
- **Elevations and Section, Addition to Residence - Mr. and Mrs. Peter Cormier - 26 Mill Street, Bolton, drawing number 2408-3, dated April, 2004, received by TRCA Development Services Section, July 30, 2004.**

RATIONALE

The application was reviewed by staff on the basis of the following information:

Proposal:

This application is to permit additions to an existing residential dwelling within a Fill Regulated Area and the Regulatory Floodplain within a designated Special Policy Area associated with the Humber River, Village of Bolton, Town of Caledon. Additions proposed under this application will include a new ground-level mud room of approximately 77 square feet, and a second floor addition of approximately 362 square feet. As the subject dwelling is located within a designated Special Policy Area (SPA) TRCA requirements for this project include appropriate floodproofing of the structure to withstand, at a minimum, the flood depths and velocities associated with a 350 year storm. A flood assessment has been submitted by R.J. Burnside and Associates in support of this application, which identifies that the works proposed will not increase the flood risk for this structure. Due to the minor nature of this proposal, and the supporting materials provided by R.J. Burnside and Associates, TRCA staff are satisfied that this proposal will not create a significant increase in flood risk or pose a greater impediment to flood waters.

Control of Flooding:

The works will occur within the Regional Storm Floodplain in a designated Special Policy Area. Due to the minor nature of the proposal, and the existing development within this area, no permanent modifications to the storage and conveyance of stream and storm flows are anticipated as a result of this project.

Pollution:

Sediment and erosion control measures will be put in place during construction to reduce the impact on surrounding features.

Conservation of Land:

No significant vegetative or geotechnical concerns exist with respect to this project. The proposed works are being completed on a developed residential lot within an existing urban area. As the majority of the works being completed are on the second floor of the existing dwelling, no significant vegetation will be disturbed during the construction process and no compensatory plantings are required.

Plantings

No significant vegetation will be disturbed through this project and thus no compensatory plantings are required.

Policy Guidelines:

The proposed works are consistent with Section 4.2.1 - Development and Redevelopment/Intensification Within Established Communities/ Highly Urbanized Areas, of the Authority's Valley and Stream Corridor Management Program.

CFN: 35711 - Application #: 312/04/CAL

Report Prepared by: Quentin Hanchard, extension 5324

For information contact: Quentin Hanchard, extension 5324

Date: August 4, 2004

TO: Chair and Members of the Executive Committee
Meeting #7/04, August 6, 2004

FROM: Brian Denney, Chief Administrative Officer

RE: PROPOSED RIVER FESTIVAL 2005
Feasibility Study

KEY ISSUE

Feasibility of a concept to develop a river festival or The Living City Festival as an annual Greater Toronto Area wide celebration of sustainable community initiatives.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT staff be directed to work with the staff of the Economic Development Culture and Tourism Department at the City of Toronto to submit a request to the Province of Ontario, and other potential supporters, for funding of a feasibility study to assess the viability of an annual festival with a sustainable communities theme in the Greater Toronto Area;

AND FURTHER THAT if funding can be obtained, staff be authorized to retain Hains Marketing to undertake the feasibility study at a cost not to exceed \$30,000, including applicable taxes.

BACKGROUND

The Heritage Rivers Section of Parks Canada commissioned a study earlier this year to explore ways to better communicate the importance of rivers in Canada. In the research done by Hains Marketing, the ongoing success of the River Festival in Brisbane Australia was noted. At the recent Heritage Rivers conference in Guelph, where the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority's (TRCA) work on the Humber River was recognized, the idea to explore a similar type of festival in southern Ontario emerged.

In the past few weeks, preliminary discussions about the potential content and viability of such a festival have been held with the following groups and individuals:

- Parks Canada
- Ontario Ministry of Tourism
- The Honourable John Godfrey
- The Honourable Joe Volpe
- City of Toronto - Economic Development & Tourism
- Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation
- Tourism Toronto
- Toronto City Summit Alliance
- Canadian Yachting Association
- Canadian Recreational Canoe Association
- TRCA

There is interest at various levels to explore the feasibility of such an event.

It is estimated by Hains Marketing that it would cost approximately \$30,000 to do a feasibility study and business plan for the festival. A request for funding has been made to Minister Volpe. The Province of Ontario also has funding for tourism recovery which might be made available for the feasibility study. Staff of the City of Toronto have offered to assist by preparing an application for provincial funding.

RATIONALE

The potential partners in the festival have all indicated that TRCA would be the preferred lead agency to coordinate initial dialogue and feasibility testing. Since Hains Marketing initially developed the concept, it would be appropriate to retain that firm to bring together the necessary expertise to expand the business plan, evaluate feasibility and make the case for the tourism benefits which such a festival might represent.

Report prepared by: Brian Denney, extension 6290
For Information contact: Brian Denney, extension 6290
Date: August 04, 2004