THE TORONTO AND REGION CONSERVATION AUTHORITY
5 Shoreham Drive, Downsview, Ontario M3N 1S4 (416) 661-6600 FAX 661-6898 http://www.trca.on.ca

Dick O'Brien
Chair

Brian Denney
Chief Administrative Officer

NOTICE OF MEETING

AUTHORITY # 10/03

The next Authority Meeting of The Toronto and Region Conservation Authority will be held on Friday, January 9, 2004 in the South Theatre, Black Creek Pioneer Village, at 10:00 a.m.

Enclosed please find the Agenda, Minutes of the various Boards and Committees, copies of communications, etc., that will be considered at the meeting.

Authority Members, concerned citizens, and all others receiving a copy of the Agenda and accompanying material are requested to bring them to the meeting, as additional copies will not be available.

If you are missing any attachments or copies or if you require further information regarding this Agenda, please contact Kathy Stranks, at 416-661-6600 ext. 5264 or e-mail at kstranks@trca.on.ca. Please also confirm attendance at the meeting.

THE TORONTO AND REGION CONSERVATION AUTHORITY

Authority Meeting #10/03

Chair: **Dick O'Brien**
Vice Chair: **Jim McMaster**
Members:

January 9, 2004
10:00 A.M.
SOUTH THEATRE, BLACK CREEK PIONEER VILLAGE

AGENDA

1. MINUTES OF MEETING #9/03
(Enclosed herewith on White)

2. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

3. DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND THE GENERAL NATURE THEREOF

4. DELEGATIONS

5. PRESENTATIONS

5.1 A presentation by Carolyn Woodland, Manager, Development Services Section, in regards to OPA 600 as it relates to item 7.1 - Pine Valley Drive Link.

6. CORRESPONDENCE

6.1 A facsimile dated September 2, 2003, from Elizabeth A. Bottos of 178 Charmaine Rd., Woodbridge, in regards to Pine Valley Link Class EA. 12-15

7. CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS TO THE AUTHORITY

7.1 CITY OF VAUGHAN OPA 600 AND PINE VALLEY DRIVE LINK 16-25

7.2 MEETING SCHEDULE 2004-2005 26-30

7.3 RULES OF CONDUCT
Amendment 31-34

8. CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING #11/03, HELD ON DECEMBER 5, 2003

Refer to pages printed on **PINK**

9. CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS OF THE WATERSHED MANAGEMENT ADVISORY BOARD MEETING #5/03, HELD ON DECEMBER 12, 2003

Refer to pages printed on **BLUE**

10. NEW BUSINESS

NEXT ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AUTHORITY #1/04, TO BE HELD ON JANUARY 30, 2004, AT 10:30 A.M. IN THE THEATRE, BLACK CREEK PIONEER VILLAGE

Brian Denney
Chief Administrative Officer

/ks

8. CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING #11/03, HELD ON DECEMBER 5, 2003

Refer to pages printed on **PINK**

SECTION I - ITEMS FOR AUTHORITY ACTION

8.1 ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD HEARING COSTS FOR NORTH LESLIE SECONDARY PLAN AREA WITHIN THE ROUGE RIVER WATERSHED, TOWN OF RICHMOND HILL 768-770

8.2 NATURAL HERITAGE LANDS PROTECTION AND ACQUISITION PROJECT 2001-2005
Humber River Watershed
Aslo-three B.V.
CFN 34238 770-773

8.3 NATURAL HERITAGE LANDS PROTECTION AND ACQUISITION PROJECT 2001-2005
Flood Plain and Conservation Component / Humber River Watershed
Fandor Homes (Bloomington Forest) Inc.
CFN 34866 774-776

8.4 NATURAL HERITAGE LANDS PROTECTION AND ACQUISITION PROJECT 2001-2005
Flood Plain and Conservation Component, Humber River Watershed
Mary and Bruno Nicolini
CFN 34867 777-779

8.5 YORK CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD
Request for release of any and all interest of The Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) in a small parcel of land located on the east side of Islington Avenue, north of Rutherford Road, City of Vaughan, Regional Municipality of York, Humber River Watershed
CFN 22925 780-782

8.6 CONTRACT FOR DAM SAFETY STUDIES AT CLAIREVILLE, G. ROSS LORD, MILNE, AND STOUFFVILLE DAMS 783-785

SECTION II - ITEMS FOR EXECUTIVE ACTION

8.7 APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS PURSUANT TO ONTARIO REGULATION 158
Fill, Construction & Alteration to Waterway.

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO WEST COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA, FORMERLY ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.7.1 AGOSTINO AND CHRISTINA CAMARDA
To place fill within a regulated area on Lot 580, Plan M-670, (21 Riverhead Drive), in the City of Toronto (Etobicoke Community Council Area), Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Agostino and Christina Camarda. 786-787

8.7.2 STEVEN DOEDE
To place fill within a regulated area on Lot 8, Plan 4079, (8 Aintree Court), in the City of Toronto (Etobicoke Community Council Area), Etobicoke Creek Watershed as located on the property owned by Steven Doede. 787-788

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO NORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA, FORMERLY MIDTOWN COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.7.3 KHOSROW MSOUMI
To place fill within a regulated area on Lot 10, 11, Plan 5454, (88 Post Road), in the City of Toronto (Midtown Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by Khosrow Msoumi. 788-789

8.7.4 SNC LAVALIN PROFAC INC.
To place fill within a regulated area on Part Lot 1, Concession 3 EYS, Part Lot 9 AND 10, Concession 3 FTB , (770 Don Mills Road), in the City of Toronto (Midtown Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by City of Toronto - Parks and Recreation Department. 789-790

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO NORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA, FORMERLY NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.7.5 FRANCES WOODHOUSE
To place fill within a regulated area on Part Lot 13, Lot 12, Plan 3955, (3 May Tree Road), in the City of Toronto (North York Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by Frances Woodhouse. 790-792

8.7.6 TINA GIANCARLO
To place fill within a regulated area on (10 Benjamin Boake Trail), in the City of Toronto (North York Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by Tina Giancarlo. 792-793

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO SOUTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA, FORMERLY TORONTO EAST YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.7.7 CITY OF TORONTO
To place fill within a regulated area on (North of Stan Wadlow Park), in the City of Toronto (Toronto East York Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by City of Toronto. 793-794

CITY OF VAUGHAN

8.7.8 GIUSEPPE DELCASALE
To place fill within a regulated area on Lot 52, Plan 65M-2187, (22 St. Ives Court), in the City of Vaughan, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Giuseppe Delcasale. 794-795

TOWN OF MARKHAM

8.7.9 J. HATCH
To construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Concession 6, Lot 10, 11, Plan 489, (33 Victoria Lane), in the Town of Markham, Rouge River Watershed as located on the property owned by J. Hatch. 795-797

THE FOLLOWING PERMIT APPLICATIONS WERE ERRATA ITEMS:

CITY OF BRAMPTON

8.7.10 AEGEUS INVESTMENTS LIMITED
To construct in a flood plain on (16 Cadetta Road), in the City of Brampton, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Aegeus Investments Limited. 798-799

8.7.11 DUFFERIN CONSTRUCTION CO.
To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Castlemore Road (380m east of McVean Drive), in the City of Brampton, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Regional Municipality of Peel. 799-801

8.7.12 DUFFERIN CONSTRUCTION CO.
To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Castlemore Road, (590m east of McVean Drive), in the City of Brampton, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Regional Municipality of Peel. 801-802

8.7.13 DUFFERIN CONSTRUCTION CO.
To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Castlemore Road (90m west of The Gore Road), in the City of Brampton, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Regional Municipality of Peel. 802-804

8.7.14 DUFFERIN CONSTRUCTION CO.
To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Castlemore Road (500m west of Clarkway Drive), in the City of Brampton, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Regional Municipality of Peel. 804-805

8.7.15 DUFFERIN CONSTRUCTION CO.
To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain on (Castlemore Road - 400M west of Highway 50), in the City of Brampton, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Regional Municipality of Peel. 805-807

8.7.16 GIFFELS DESIGN BUILD INC.
To place fill within a regulated area on (350 First Gulf Boulevard), in the City of Brampton, Etobicoke Creek Watershed as located on the property owned by Giffels Design Build Inc.. 807-808

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

WITHDRAWN

8.7.17 CARTERM INTERMODAL LOGISTICS INC.
To construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Part Lot 12, Concession 6 EHS Block F, G, Plan 875, (2700 Drew Road), in the City of Mississauga, Mimico Creek Watershed as located on the property owned by Carterm Intermodal Logistics Inc.. 808

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO WEST COMMUNITY COUNCIL, FORMERLY ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.7.18 ANNA D. KACIAK
To construct in a flood plain on Lot 53, Plan 2234, (17 Bonnyview Drive), in the City of Toronto (Etobicoke Community Council Area), Mimico Creek Watershed as located on the property owned by Anna D. Kaciak. 809-810

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO NORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA, FORMERLY NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.7.19 CITY OF TORONTO - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, CULTURE & TOURISM DEPARTMENT, POLICY & DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

To place fill within a regulated area on Lot 23, 24, Concession 2 WYS , (G. Ross Lord Park), in the City of Toronto (North York Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by City of Toronto - Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department, Policy & Development Division. 810-811

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO SOUTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA, FORMERLY TORONTO EAST YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

WITHDRAWN

8.7.20 CITY OF TORONTO

To construct in a flood plain on Lot 11, 12, Concession 3, (21 Redway Road), in the City of Toronto (Toronto East York Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by City of Toronto. 811

CITY OF VAUGHAN

8.7.21 DUFFERIN CONSTRUCTION CO.

To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Rutherford Road (approximately 837m west of CPR Tracks), in the City of Vaughan, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Regional Municipal of York. 812-813

8.7.22 DUFFERIN CONSTRUCTION CO.

To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Rutherford Road (203m west of CPR tracks), in the City of Vaughan, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Regional Municipality of York. 813-814

8.7.23 LOUKAS PROPERTIES

To place fill within a regulated area on (488 Basaltic Road), in the City of Vaughan, Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by Loukas Properties. 815-816

8.7.24 THE FOREST HILL OF KLEINBURG LIMITED

To place fill within a regulated area on (east of Stevenson Avenue, south of Valleyview Court), in the City of Vaughan, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by The Forest Hill of Kleinburg Limited. 816-817

8.7.25 VILLATA GARDENS INC.
To place fill within a regulated area on Lot 38, Concession 8 Lot 38 AND PART LOT 39, Plan, (10504 Islington Avenue), in the City of Vaughan, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Villata Gardens Inc.. 817-818

TOWN OF MARKHAM

8.7.26 BORDEAUX DEVELOPMENTS (ONTARIO) INC.
To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain on (10370 Woodbine Avenue North), in the Town of Markham, Rouge River Watershed as located on the property owned by Bordeaux Developments (Ontario) Inc.. 819-820

CITY OF BRAMPTON

8.7.27 CITY OF BRAMPTON
To alter a waterway, place fill within a regulated area on (Chinguacousy Park), in the City of Brampton, Etobicoke Creek Watershed as located on the property owned by City of Brampton. 820-822

8.7.28 SANDRINGHAM PLACE INC. AND CASA-NORTH INVESTMENTS INC.
To alter a waterway, construct in a flood plain on Lot 13, 14, Concession 5 , Lot 13, Concession 6, in the City of Brampton, Humber River Watershed as located on the property owned by Sandringham Place Inc. and Casa-North Investments Inc.. 822-824

CITY OF TORONTO (TORONTO NORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA, FORMERLY MIDTOWN COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA)

8.7.29 BLOORVIEW MACMILLAN CHILDREN'S CENTRE
To construct in a flood plain, place fill within a regulated area on Part Lot 2,3, Concession 2, (Rumsey Road), in the City of Toronto (Midtown Community Council Area), Don River Watershed as located on the property owned by Bloorview MacMillan Children's Centre. 825-826

8.8 REQUEST FOR DISPOSAL OF TORONTO AND REGION CONSERVATION AUTHORITY-OWNED LAND
West side of Northline Road, opposite Northdale Boulevard
CFN 34865 827-828

8.9 MIMICO WATERFRONT LINEAR PARK
Property Acquisition Services
CFN 29644 829-831

8.10 PROJECT TO DEVELOP A STREAM THERMAL MODEL FOR THE UPPER HUMBER RIVER AND BRUCE CREEK 832-833

8.11 BLACK CREEK PIONEER VILLAGE
Washroom/Event Pavilion Architect Contract 833-835

8.12 ACQUISITION OF VEHICLES 835-836

8.13 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT COURSE
Klemmer and Associates 837

8.14 ADDITIONAL OFFICE ACCOMODATION 837-839

9. CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS OF THE WATERSHED MANAGEMENT ADVISORY BOARD MEETING #5/03, HELD ON DECEMBER 12, 2003

Refer to pages printed on **BLUE**

SECTION I - ITEMS FOR AUTHORITY ACTION

9.1 CORRESPONDENCE
Oakdale Golf and Country Club 231

9.2 CORRESPONDENCE
Ajax Waterfront Trail: Proposal for Completion to the Ajax - Whitby Border 231

9.3 ETOBICOKE-MIMICO CREEK WATERSHEDS HABITAT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 238-242

9.4 FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR DUFFINS CREEK AND CARRUTHERS CREEK 242-253

9.5 GTA FLOOD PROGRAM STANDARDS
2003 Progress and 2004 Work Plan 254-258

9.6 COMPREHENSIVE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR YORK UNIVERSITY
Terms of Reference 259-263

9.7 BLUFFERS PARK MARINA MASTER PLAN AND LEASE AMENDMENT - FLOAT HOMES
City of Toronto Waterfront 264-268

9.8 INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION (IJC) - THE INTERNATIONAL LAKE ONTARIO - ST. LAWRENCE RIVER STUDY 269-274

9.9 GRASS CARP FOUND IN THE LOWER DON RIVER 275-276

9.10 2003 HUMBER WATERSHED PROGRESS REPORT - FINAL 276-278

9.11 ETOBICOKE-MIMICO WATERSHEDS COALITION
Changes to Membership 278-279

9.12 HUMBER WATERSHED ALLIANCE
Terms of Reference for the Humber Watershed Alliance: 2004 - 2006 280-292

9.13 DON WATERSHED REGENERATION COUNCIL
Terms of Reference for the Don Watershed Regeneration Council: 2004 - 2006 293-305

9.14 DON WATERSHED REGENERATION COUNCIL
Minutes of Meeting #6/03, Meeting #7/03 and Meeting #8/03 306

9.15 PICKERING HARBOUR COMPANY WATERFRONT REGENERATION PROJECT
City of Pickering, Regional Municipality of Durham 306-309

9.16 RECOGNITION OF MARK WILSON 309

CORRESPONDENCE 6.1

Elizabeth A. Bottos

178 *Charmaine Rd.*
Woodbridge, Ontario, L4L IK2

HOME TEL: (905) 851-3671
WORK TEL (905)850-0155 /*WORK FAX* (905)850-0498

Tuesday, September 02, 2003

Sent by Telefax 10
416-661-6898 (4 pages)

Watershed Management Advisory Board
The Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
5 Shoreham Drive
Toronto, Ontario
M3N 1S4

Attention: Chair. Irene Jones

Dear Madam:

RE: Pine Valley Link Class EA

I am a member of the Community Working Group involved in the Pine Valley Drive Link Environmental Assessment. I note that one of the items on your Agenda for the July 11, 2003 meeting was the receipt of Status Reports on the Pine Valley Link and the TRCA involvement in the OPA600MB appeal process. As a member of the public and as a member of the Pine Valley Link Community Working Group, I would appreciate it if you could notify me when issues relating to the TRCA appeal to OMB of OPA600 or the receipt of Status Reports, etc. relating to the Pine Valley Link are on the Agenda for meetings.

You mayor may not be aware that at the Community Working Group meeting of March 20, 2003 I suggested that the continuation of the Pine Valley Link from Clubhouse north to the opened portion of the road be diverted in an Easterly direction so that it is along the periphery of the forested area which is adjoined by residential homes. This would mean that the roadway is along the periphery of Boyd Park just as Rutherford Road, Islington Avenue and Langstaff are along the periphery of Boyd Park. I understood from your representative at the March 2003 meeting that this would be brought to your attention as a possible resolution to the completion of the Pine Valley Link. However, I note that no mention is made of this in the July 11, 2003 meeting and I am wondering if it ever was brought to your attention. If not, I would like to be able to discuss this with you further. If it has been brought to your attention and you have dismissed it, I would like, if possible to receive some explanation from you as to why it is not an acceptable alternative to you?

I am also wondering if you can advise me as to how the TRCA appeal to the 0MB is being funded. Will the cost off this appeal be assessed against York Region or the City of Vaughan?

I also am curious as to why the TRCA has allowed a pumping station to be built in Boyd Park, has not objected to Langstaff Road between Pine Valley and Islington. which straddles the Humber River being widened from two lanes to four lanes and is now proposing that it be widened to six lanes over the Humber River as an alternative to opening the Pine Valley Link and why the TRCA sold a portion of Boyd Park (i.e. Pan of Lots 14 and 15 Concession 7, City of Vaughan designated as Part 1 on Plan 65R-19402 which has now become the quote "Arista Gate subdivision") for residential development with the result that many trees were cut down and traffic on Islington Avenue was greatly increased even necessitating a set of lights now at the Arista Gate subdivision, and why the TRCA is supporting the widening of Islington Avenue to six lanes which will affect the Westerly boundary of Boyd Park by requiring leveling of the steep slopes and many trees to be cut down. It seems to me inconsistent for the TRCA to oppose the opening of the Pine Valley Link when by so doing they are causing the erosion of Boyd Park on the North limit along Rutherford, on the West limit along Islington and on the South limit along Langstaff. For this reason, I think that it is especially important to look at diverting the course of the Pine Valley link along the periphery of Blocks A and D, Plan M- 1857 (see sketch attached) because so doing could prevent any further erosion of Boyd Park along the North limit, the West limit, the South limit and could prevent any further damage to the Humber River.

I also understand from item 7.1 on the July 11, 2003 Agenda that the TRCA is working to advance "**source protection planning and implementation within the Province**". While I have no idea what "source protection planning" is, I note that item 7.8 on the Agenda recommends receipt of the legal opinion of Baker, McKenzie on the issue of how "the source protection planning regulation" for Conservation Authorities can be utilized with respect to highway planning. The legal opinion appears to relate to the issue of how the TRCA can legally influence the proposed extension of Highway No.427 .Would source protection planning regulations allow the TRCA to prevent the City of Vaughan from completing the Pine Valley Link?

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Yours very truly,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Elizabeth A. Bottos

cc: Michael Di Biase Member: of the TRCA and Mayor of the City of Vaughan
Sent by Telefax to 905-832-8598

cc: Leo C. Angelantoni
Sent by Telefax to 905-851-3670

cc: Sue Cumming
Sent by Telefax to 416-406-61 41

cc: Dennis Kelly - York Regional Clerk for distribution to Fisch, Di Biase and Members of York Regional Council
Sent by Telefax to 1-905-895-7238

cc: Peter Warring - National Resources
Sent by Telefax to 1-905-713-7361

cc John Leach - City of Vaughan Clerk for distribution to Robinson, Swayze, Pearce, Robinson
Sent by Telefax to 905-832-6145

cc: The Honourable Jim Wilson - Minister of the Environment
Sent by Telefax to 416-314-6479

cc: The Honourable Ernie Eves, Premier
via e-mail, webprem@gov.on.ca

Boyo Park
Roanoke
Ruth
Rutherford
Francesca Court
Pine Valley Dr
Moon Place
Cook Roanoke
Sheet 2
Sheet 3
14
13
dwell
Coulee
to
Road
17' Rock
all

TO: Chair and Members of the Authority
Meeting #10/03, January 9, 2004

FROM: Adele Freeman, Acting Director, Watershed Management Division

RE: **CITY OF VAUGHAN OPA 600 AND PINE VALLEY DRIVE LINK.**

KEY ISSUE
Context Overview for the City of Vaughan OPA 600 Block Planning Process. Status report on Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) Pine Valley Drive Link, OPA 600 OMB Appeal process, and the current process for the Pine Valley Drive Link EA. Confirmation of direction for hearing and environmental assessment process.

RECOMMENDATION

WHEREAS the TRCA does not concur with the findings of the Phase 2 component of the Pine Valley Drive Link Class Environmental Assessment;

WHEREAS the TRCA has consistently requested that the City of Vaughan address the issue of the Pine Valley Drive Link through an Individual Environmental Assessment;

AND WHEREAS the TRCA is currently a party in the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) appeal of OPA 600 with respect to the identification of the Pine Valley Drive Link;

THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT staff be directed to continue to participate on an information only basis for the remainder of the Pine Valley Link Environmental Assessment Process, and continue to prepare for the OMB hearing on February 2, 2004 on the understanding that TRCA will be requesting that:

1. **The Minister of the Environment issue a Part II (Section 16) Order so as to alter the current Municipal Class Environmental Assessment to form a new Individual Environmental Assessment study process as soon as possible; and that**

2. **The Minister of the Environment also refer (pursuant to Section 7.2(3)) the undertaking to the Environmental Review Board with a request for a joint OMB and Environmental Assessment Hearing, avoiding the duplication of effort and cost of two hearings over the same proposal and ensuring that the proposal can be comprehensively reviewed with the benefit of the appropriate environmental assessment methodology.**

BACKGROUND
At Watershed Management Advisory Board Meeting #3/03,held on July 11, 2003, members requested an overview of OPA 600 as context background to understanding the Pine Valley Drive Link proposal.

At Authority Meeting #2/02, held on March 30, 2001, Resolution #A33/01 was approved as follows:

THAT the City of Vaughan be advised that the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority has significant concern with the environmental issues of opening the Pine Valley link between Clubhouse and Rutherford Roads.

THAT the City of Vaughan be requested to thoroughly consider traffic planning solutions that do not require the opening of Pine Valley Drive between Clubhouse and Rutherford Roads.

AND FURTHER THAT the City of Vaughan be requested to initiate a full Individual Environmental Assessment (EA) as opposed to a Municipal Class EA for the Pine Valley Drive link due to significant potential environmental impacts, if they choose to pursue this option over another transportation strategy.

At Authority Meeting #11/02, held on January 10, 2003, Resolution #A290/02 was approved as follows:

THAT staff be directed to maintain party status in front of the Ontario Municipal Board with respect to referrals 1 and 4 as they relate to policies defining valley lands and buffers, and referrals 5 and 6 as they relate to the identification of the Pine Valley Drive Link through the significant natural habitat at the east end of the Boyd Conservation Area.

THAT staff be directed to continue to work with affected parties to resolve these appeals through the Block Planning Process and the Pine Valley Drive Environmental Assessment process.

AND FURTHER THAT staff be directed to retain legal services for any necessary representation on these matters.

Context Overview of the City of Vaughan OPA 600

Official Plan Amendment 600 supercedes Official Amendment 400 (approved in 1995), and covers the same northern half of the municipality as envisioned in the 1995 official plan review. Council adopted OPA 600 in September 2000. Refer to OPA 600 figure in Attachment 1.

The urban concept for Vaughan includes 2 key urban centres: Vaughan Centre and Vaughan Corporate Centre; and 3 new community designations: Vellore-Urban Village 1, Patterson-Urban Village 2 and the Woodbridge Expansion Area.

Vaughan Centre straddles Rutherford Road, just south of Canada's Wonderland, and includes a mixture of high and medium density residential uses, retail, office, community, cultural, recreational, civic, entertainment and tourism. At full development the centre will accommodate 3,000 residents.

Vaughan Corporate Centre will be a regional centre, including employment generating uses such as industrial, business, office, institutional, retail and entertainment uses, or a mix thereof. The centre is located at the crossroads of Highway 7 and Highway 400/407 in the south-central sector of the municipality.

The 3 new communities are anticipated to accommodate a population of up to 132,000 persons by 2026. The Urban Village Areas, and the Urban Centres will provide a mix of low, medium and high density housing forms with a general target of an overall gross density of 16-18 units per hectare.

Vellore - Urban Village 1 is a new community located centrally within the municipality, adjacent to the Kortright lands and East Humber River system. The village centre is located at the intersection of Weston Road and Major MacKenzie Drive. Vellore-Urban Village 1 will accommodate a population of approximately 51,000 persons. Rutherford Road is the communities major east/west arterial road and future transit road . The community includes Block Plans 39, 32, 40, 33, and 47.

Patterson-Urban Village 2 is a new community in the eastern sector of the municipality. The district centre will be located at the intersection of Dufferin Street and Rutherford Road. The population projection for this village is approximately 66,000 persons. A series of proposals are included on the Bradford rail line which will provide convenient GO service between Vaughan and downtown Toronto. It was anticipated that this will reduce auto congestion and improve public transit ridership, particularly for residents of Maple and Patterson Village. Large portions of the village are located within lands regulated by the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan, which was adopted mid stream through the block planning process. These block plans have constituted environmental planning challenges for the City of Vaughan and TRCA related to natural feature protection, buffer requirements and valleyland definition. This community includes Block Plans 12, 18, 11, 10.

The Woodbridge Expansion Area is a new community located north of existing Woodbridge community and south of Kleinburg/Nashville (nestled between the east and west Humber River corridors). It will provide a less dense residential environment as an alternative to Urban Villages 1 and 2, with an anticipated population of 14,000 persons. It constitutes Block Plan 53.

The City of Vaughan has an existing population of 185,000 based on the 2001 consensus. When the province approved OPA 400, it was expected to ultimately house a population of 120,000 persons in 3 new communities and the Vaughan Centre. Development within OPA 600 has been based on population projections (from York Region) of 254,000 by the year 2011, and ultimately a population of 322,000 by 2026.

The rate of block planning approval requests has been very rapid, with a significant amount of TRCA environmental review work being accomplished in August and September of 2003. Block Plans 17, 32, 39 and 53 were approved by Vaughan in 1997, Block Plans 11, 12, 18, 33 were approved by Vaughan in the fall of 2003. A detailed Block Plan Approval Summary is attached for reference.

The transportation proposals of OPA 600 essentially include requests to the Region of York to improve and widen the following regional roads servicing Vaughan: Bathurst, Dufferin, Keele, Weston and Jane Streets. Improvements to Highway 400 access from Jane Street and at Rutherford Road are also included, with major widening of Rutherford Road to 6 lanes between Jane Street and Highway 400. The OPA 600 requests include an early construction of Highway 427, and studies to determine priority transit improvements for major east-west roads and heavy demand north-south routes, as well as, higher order transit corridor feasibility.

The Pine Valley Drive Link has been identified on transportation schedules within the City of Vaughan Official Plan OPA 400 and OPA 600. The Pine Valley Drive Link is a municipal unopened road allowance, which traverses the eastern lands of the Boyd Conservation Area, extending the existing Pine Valley Drive between Clubhouse Road and Rutherford Road in the City of Vaughan. Prior to "opening" this portion of Pine Valley Drive, the city is required to undertake an environmental assessment. Despite not appealing Official Plan Amendment 400, the TRCA has repeatedly made clear to the City of Vaughan and York Region its objection to the Pine Valley Drive Link through Authority resolutions and correspondence. While Vaughan owns the road allowance, additional lands owned by TRCA will be required to construct the link. The feasibility of the link proposal rests on acquiring land from TRCA. TRCA's letters specifically request the deletion of the proposed link, based on the highly sensitive ecological nature of the forested area, and at least, the execution of an individual environmental assessment process to adequately study the site's value, and alternatives to the undertaking.

The Region of York's Transportation Master Plan also includes plans to upgrade the Pine Valley Drive sector north of Rutherford Road, connecting to Major MacKenzie Drive, following an environmental assessment process. This road allowance forms the eastern boundary of the Kortright Centre for Conservation.

<u>The Significance of the Pine Valley</u>

The proposed Pine Valley Drive Link extends through the eastern sector of the Boyd Conservation Area and crosses through the Pine Valley Forest Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) and the Provincially Significant Life Science - Area of Natural and Scientific Interest (Pine Valley Forest ANSI). The proposed link severs deeply-incised valley features and heavily forested terrain that boasts outstanding ecological and scenic value. The Pine Valley and tributaries are associated with the East Humber River - a massive sector of our regional terrestrial natural heritage system.

Boyd Conservation Area is well known for its family and group picnicking facilities, and group camping, as well as its bird and wildlife observation opportunities. The Kortright Centre and Boyd Conservation Area have, for many years, formed an important natural environment asset on the East Humber that links the forests, meadows and valley areas of Woodbridge to the extensive lands at the McMichael Gallery in Kleinburg. The rich scenic landscapes are primarily managed for ecological purposes by the TRCA, with some plant nursery operations, active restoration sites, passive recreation and educational facilities. The Kortright Centre, located north of Rutherford Road, has been an educational attraction for many years, and TRCA is planning to upgrade this facility in the near future to form The Living City Centre for sustainable living education. This complex of lands will in time only become more important to a growing region such as York. As the years unfold, demand for areas of trail use, picnicking, nature appreciation and education will increase. However, the growing urbanization around the

Boyd and Kortright natural features creates concern for the long term health of the natural heritage system and future enjoyment of recreationalists.

Boyd Conservation Area, forming the southern end of this landbase is busy with community and corporate picnic gatherings, and is well used by locals throughout the year, particularly with popular trail and river fishing activities. The forested eastern portion of the Boyd Conservation Area is the most ecologically significant lands in Boyd, including ESA and ANSI areas. These lands also form the forested backdrop and setting for the natural environment experience for the park users and local residents. The existing Pine Valley forest buffers the views and sounds of the adjacent city, and creates a secluded natural experience.

The proposed extension of Pine Valley Drive would effectively sever the ESA in two parts, and threaten the viability of the western portion of the ESA and the sensitive breeding habitat located immediately west of the road allowance. The extent of traffic noise impacts to the valuable natural environment area of Boyd has yet to be determined, but are certainly expected. Possible relocation of some camping or picnicking facilities, and possible trails, may be required if the impacts are a nuisance. Impacts on existing habitat can not be mitigated.

With the loss of forest habitat and fragmentation that will be caused by the proposed road link, the current recreational uses associated with Boyd Conservation Area will intensify the pressure on the remaining forest habitat, causing further species loss, forest degradation and anticipated changes in the quality of recreational experience.

TRCA's primary interest in the natural heritage features and functions of the Pine Valley is the protection of existing core features and their functions in providing the diversity of habitat for native flora and fauna within its jurisdiction. TRCA's research indicates that the Pine Valley forest area is one of the most important southerly tracts of habitat within the jurisdiction, and specifically the Humber River watershed and natural heritage system. This significant wildlife movement corridor connects the natural areas on the Oak Ridges Moraine and the Niagara Escarpment to the Lake Ontario shoreline. The terrestrial habitat block is significant during bird migration, as well, in that it is the first high quality habitat node north of Lake Ontario.

The forested area of the north/east corner of the site provides approximately 5.7 ha of forest interior habitat for a variety of sensitive species of wildlife. This is one of the largest forest interior habitat located this far south in the Humber River watershed. Staff estimate that about 70% of this interior forest will be lost with this development proposal.

The Pine Valley forest is also important for its high quality breeding and supporting habitats for amphibian species of concern. Seven (7) of the 9 amphibian species breeding in Pine Valley are species of concern.

The headwaters stream within the valley supports a cold water fishery in the East Humber.

At least 237 plant species inhabit the Pine Valley Forest and 78 fauna species breed in the various natural areas. Of the flora species, 28 are species of conservation concern and 21 are species of fauna concern. A variety of different vegetation communities, including upland forest, lowland forest, wetlands, riparian and meadow communities contribute to the biodiversity of the site.

TRCA's hydrogeological interest focuses on maintaining the existing recharge and discharge of groundwater which supplies base flow to the Humber River, and other natural features in the area. Further assessment is needed to determine the degree of impact in hydrogeologically sensitive Pine Valley. Our preliminary investigations through the York-Peel-Durham-Toronto (YPDT) Groundwater Management Study, and some field review, indicate that the base of the Pine Valley virtually cuts through the entire glacial till cap covering the underlying aquifer (Thorncliffe Formation). This means that the regional aquifer in this local area is highly susceptible to contamination.

TRCA staff believe that a serious examination of the issue of cumulative impacts through this sector of the City of Vaughan is needed, particularly as it relates to the proposed loss and degradation of a major portion of this forest tract, and impacts to the East Humber natural heritage system. This resource can not be replaced and requires the appropriate level of assessment when determining the preferred long term development scenarios, which considers a ecological and traffic vision for the long term. Alternative traffic scenarios that protect this valuable valley need to be considered more seriously in view of the longer term value of natural areas for the future of the community.

Status for TRCA's Pine Valley Link, OPA 600 OMB Appeal Process

The area in which the Pine Valley Drive Link is situated is subject to the Greenlands and Environmental Policy Area (EPA) designations and policies of the Region of York Official Plan. TRCA policy also prohibits roadway development of this type as it crosses the valley system through an Environmentally Significant Area. Even City of Vaughan OPA 400 and 600 policies state the importance of protecting ESA's and ANSI's from development. OPA 600 also maps the Pine Valley lands as highly sensitive hydrogeological lands.

On July 2001, the National Golf Club and Mr. Quinto Annibale appealed to the OMB the inclusion of the Pine Valley Drive Link in Schedule "J" to OPA 600 as well as some of the applicable policies related to the city's transportation network. At a prehearing conference in November 2002, the TRCA was granted Party Status to the appeals by the National Golf Club and Mr. Annibale.

The National Golf Club, Mr. Annibale and the TRCA collectively requested that the Ontario Municipal Board defer the hearing until such time as the environmental assessment process has been completed. In response, the City of Vaughan filed a Notice of Motion to dismiss the hearing, with the alternative relief of an early hearing date should their request be denied. On June 4, 2003, the Ontario Municipal Board set aside July 2, 3 and 4, 2003 to hear the legal arguments of this motion. To respond to this motion, all parties, including the TRCA, were required to file motion material. This necessitated the preparation of affidavits (witness statements) by both TRCA's Manager of Development Services and Planning Ecologist. TRCA staff were cross examined by counsel representing the City of Vaughan on June 26, 2003. The motion was being argued by legal counsel for all parties when staff last updated the Authority on July 25, 2003 (Res.#A162/03).

After two days of hearing, the City of Vaughan withdrew its motion to dismiss the appeals, largely because the board had indicated that a hearing was necessary, particularly as it related to matters raised by TRCA. In the decision document on a motion to dismiss the board, the Hearing Officer acknowledged the appellants desire to see the results of a final Phase 2 report (the work was draft at the time of the hearing and all agency comments were not received). It was also clear that if the Phase 2 Municipal Class EA document supported the link, then the appellants intended to ask the Minister for a "bump up" to an individual environmental assessment, and preferably obtain a joint board hearing.

The City of Vaughan argued that "potential substantial delay, were this approach taken, would prejudice the City and its land use planning for development areas in Official Plan Amendment 600 many of which are not only approved but built out and others proceeding apace all, in part, predicated upon the completion of Pine Valley Drive and the widening of Pine Valley Drive between Langstaff Road to the south and Rutherford Road to the north".

The appellants (with TRCA environmental support) have chosen to dispute the planning rationale for the inclusion of the Pine Valley Drive Link in Official Plan Amendment 600.

The board was persuaded by City of Vaughan that substantial delay would occur in waiting for the outcome of a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment. The board decision concluded that "this Board's land use planning process and a party's right to a hearing within a reasonable timeframe would be unfairly delayed by a process that is outside this mandate and its control". The appellants believe Vaughan must go through an EA process anyway. The hearing date was set for February 2, 2004 providing enough time to confirm what the final Phase 2 recommendations would be for Phase 2 of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment.

On this basis, National Golf Club of Canada, Loopstra Nixon (represented by Quinto Annable) and TRCA (represented by Ira Kagan of Kagan Shastri) are preparing comprehensive witness statements for the February hearing. The environmental evidence will be prepared by TRCA, and the remaining land use planning and transportation evidence will be handled by the National Golf Club expert team.

Status of Pine Valley Drive Link Environmental Assessment Process

The City of Vaughan initiated a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment in spring of 2003. At Vaughan's invitation, TRCA staff have participated in the Community Working Group and attended public meetings as well. The City of Vaughan retained the firm of Cansult Limited and Gartner Lee to conduct the consulting assignment. They developed a terms of reference for the assignment, and have now completed the needs analysis and the planning alternatives phases of study. Throughout the process, TRCA has advised the consultants and members of the Community Working Group of our significant concerns for the environmental sensitivity of the study site. At the environmental assessment process outset, a letter from TRCA was filed with the City of Vaughan (and their consultants, and the Ministry of the Environment (MOE)) providing ecological information for the study area, and requesting an Individual Environmental Assessment process.

A draft Phase 2 environmental assessment report was issued in May 2003 and this report included the criteria and alternative proposal evaluations. The conclusions of the consultant is that the preferred solution for dealing with the traffic demand and projected traffic patterns of the area includes the Pine Valley Drive Link in the scenario. An assessment of the alternative traffic scenarios for the Pine Valley study area was developed with the Community Working Group using a diagrammatic criteria format. The Community Working Group had great difficulty agreeing on the final criteria based on the polarized positions among the group members.

Both, TRCA and the Ministry of Natural (MNR) have written letters to the EA consulting team and the MOE regarding the serious concerns which we have for the preferred Pine Valley Drive Link transportation scenario. MNR and TRCA's official comment letter for Phase 2 has been answered by Vaughan's consulting team. In the opinion of Vaughan's consultants, TRCA and MNR concerns did not warrant any changes to the recommendations, and were generally dismissed.

TRCA and MOE organized a meeting with key representatives to discuss our concerns for the EA process and Phase 2 results. MNR and the Region of York, Planning and Works representatives attended (Vaughan declined).

The general discussion of the meeting evolved around these key concerns, as follows:

- Development with impacts of this magnitude, are not permitted through areas of provincial interest (ANSI's) as per the Provincial Policy Statement;

- The process for environmental assessment is incorrect for a project of such major environmental impact. The process should not be a Municipal Class EA but an Individual Environmental Assessment.

- This link represents only a short portion of the full extent of road work planned for Pine Valley Drive. The environmental assessment process should be addressing the broader cumulative environmental impacts of the proposed link (a municipal project) and the section of Pine Valley Drive from Rutherford Road north to Major MacKenzie (a Region of York project).

Representatives from MNR will be subpoenaed to testify at the OMB 600 appeal hearing in February.

TRCA was contacted by the Humber Alliance and other community representatives to discuss their concerns about the link proposal. Staff have kept the Humber Alliance informed about the EA and appeal process.

Staff requested that the Pine Valley EA team come and present the findings of Phase 2 to the Watershed Management Advisory Board on July 11,2003, in order to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the complex traffic analysis and EA criteria assessment. The City of Vaughan declined the invitation based on the pending OMB hearing. A presentation will be made by TRCA staff outlining the context and Class EA process that was conducted by Vaughan including a brief list of the criteria that was used to select the Pine Valley Drive Link as the preferred scenario.

The EA consulting team has already conducted a portion of the Phase 3 detailed design of the preferred solutions for the Pine Valley crossing, illustrating several variations on a bridge structure through the study area. Alternative engineering scenarios include variations on a high, low and medium vertical bridge design across the valley. At the last presentation of the Phase 3 work, the consultants requested that affected agencies/landowners meet with the team to discuss the preferred bridge design and mitigation scenarios for the bridge. Since staff are firm about our opposition to this scenario, we recommend that TRCA participate on an information only basis over the next phases of the municipal class environmental assessment. TRCA will not be providing comment on the bridge scenarios or any preferences at this time.

TRCA will be proceeding towards the OMB 600 appeal hearing, and are pursuing a Part II order request with the Minister of the Environment, and preferably a joint board hearing to assess the alternatives to the Pine Valley Drive Link proposal.

OPA 600
BLOCK PLAN APPROVAL STATUS

Block 12 Approved September 22, 2003
3,149 residential units
Land Use: mix of low and medium/commercial and neighbourhood commercial
4 elementary school sites, 5 neighbourhood parks 12 swm ponds, tableland woodlots, valley land/open space

Block 11 Approved August 25, 2003
3,552 residential units
Land Use: mix of low (53%), medium (42%) and high (5%) density
residential, 3 neighbourhood commercial, institutional, 4 elementary & 1 secondary school(s), 5 parks, woodlots, 8 swm ponds, nature reserve (MacMillan property)
Referral to OMB re: valleyland definition and buffers

Block 18 Approved September 22, 2003
3,638 residential units
Land Use: mix of low, medium and high density, local and neighbourhood commercial, 4 elementary schools, 1 secondary school, 5 parks, district centre, swm ponds, valley/open space (minor in this Block), table land woodlot (24 ha)

Block 33 Approved September 8, 2003
1,918 residential units
Land Use: mix of low and medium density, neighbourhood and local commercial, high performance employment area (32 ha -other major land use to residential), 3 neighbourhood parks, 2 elementary schools, 1 secondary school, 4 swm facilities, valleyland/ open space. Referral to OMB re: valleyland definition and buffers

Block 32 West Approval date unknown
3,099 residential units

Block 39 Approved October 20, 1997
6,633 residential units
Land Use: mix of single, semi and townhouses

Block 53 Approved February 10, 1997
4,879 residential units
Land Use: 2 plazas, 5 schools - built out

Block 17 Approved August 25, 1997
1,827 residential units
Land Use: 2 schools, 1 plaza, 3.2 ha of industrial uses

Block 40/47 Pending - Has not yet been approved
Preliminary information indicates approximately 884 residential lots.
Land Use: OPA 600 indicates that this area will be planned for "executive housing"- 5 to 7.5 units per hectare – Sensitive Cold Creek ecological areas - aquatic habitat provincially recognized.

Report prepared by: Carolyn Woodland, extension 5214
For Information contact: Carolyn Woodland, extension 5214
Date: December 31, 2003
Attachments: 1 (Appended to the agenda)

TO: Chair and Members of the Authority
Meeting #10/03, January 9, 2004

FROM: Brian Denney, Chief Administrative Officer

RE: **MEETING SCHEDULE 2004-2005**

KEY ISSUE
To provide a schedule of meetings for the forthcoming Authority year, beginning February 1, 2004 and ending February 28, 2005.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Schedule of Meetings 2004-2005, dated December 18, 2003, be approved;

THAT the Executive Committee be designated the powers of the Authority during the month of August, 2004, as defined in Section 2.10 of the Rules of Conduct;

AND FURTHER THAT this schedule be distributed at the earliest opportunity to all agencies who appoint members to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority.

RATIONALE
Since almost all members of the Authority sit on councils, boards or committees which usually meet on days other than Friday, we have arranged this schedule to accommodate all Authority meetings on Friday.

Full Authority meetings have been scheduled for the last Friday of the month, with exceptions in the months of July and December to accommodate holiday's. Executive Committee meetings have been scheduled for the first Friday of the month, with the exceptions of July, September and January to accommodate holiday's. An Authority meeting is not scheduled in the month of August due to the holiday season, but to accommodate the large number of permit requests at this time, an Executive Committee meeting is scheduled. This meeting will be to primarily handle permits, but should an item requiring Authority approval need to be dealt with at this time, this is allowed for under Section 2.10 of the Authority's Rules of Conduct, should the Authority designate these powers:

> **2.10** to exercise such additional powers, excluding those powers set out in Clause (d) of Subsection (1) of Section 30 of the Act, as may be assigned to it by the Authority during the months of July and August provided that a report be given to the Authority at the first meeting of the Authority thereafter;

Staff are recommending powers be so designated to the Executive Committee for August, 2004, with the required report being brought to the Authority at its meeting to be held on September 24, 2004.

At Authority Annual Meeting #1/02, held on January 25, 2002, Resolution #A6/02 was approved in part as follows:

THAT the dates of future Annual Meetings be changed to accommodate the budget meeting schedule for our member municipalities, such that the Annual Meeting held following a municipal election be in January while the Annual Meetings in the interim two years between elections be moved to February;

In accordance with Resolution #A6/02, the 2005 Annual Meeting is to be held on Friday, February 25, 2005.

Due to the growing attendance at meetings, staff are recommending that all meetings be held at Black Creek Pioneer Village (BCPV), except for the December meetings which will be held in the Humber Room, Head Office, to accommodate the busy school booking season at BCPV. The Authority and Executive Committee meetings will be held at 10:00 a.m., whereas Business Excellence Advisory Board (BEAB), Watershed Management Advisory Board (WMAB) and Sustainable Communities Board (SCB) meetings will be held at 9:00 a.m., 10:30 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. respectively. SCB meetings have been scheduled on the same day as most Executive Committee meetings and BEAB have been scheduled on the same day as most WMAB meetings to streamline the meeting schedule.

Report prepared by: Kathy Stranks, extension 5264
For Information contact: Kathy Stranks, extension 5264
Andrea Fennell, extension 6281
Date: December 18, 2003
Attachments: 1

Attachment 1

THE TORONTO AND REGION CONSERVATION AUTHORITY
MEETING SCHEDULE 2004-2005

FEBRUARY 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Feb. 6	10:00 a.m.	Executive #1/04
Feb. 6	11:30 a.m.	SCB #1/04
Feb. 13	10:00 a.m.	WMAB #1/04
Feb. 27	10:00 a.m.	Authority #2/04

MARCH 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Mar. 5	9:00 a.m.	BEAB #1/04
Mar. 5	10:00 a.m.	Executive #2/04
Mar. 26	10:00 a.m.	Authority #3/04

APRIL 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Apr. 2	10:00 a.m.	Executive #3/04
Apr. 2	11:00 a.m.	SCB #2/04
Apr. 16	9:00 a.m.	BEAB #2/04
Apr. 16	10:30 a.m.	WMAB #2/04
Apr. 30	10:00 a.m.	Authority #4/04

MAY 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
May 7	10:00 a.m.	Executive #4/04
May 28	10:00 a.m.	Authority #5/04

JUNE 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
June 4	10:00 a.m.	Executive #5/04
June 4	11:00 a.m.	SCB #3/04
June 11	9:00 a.m.	BEAB #3/04
June 11	10:30 a.m.	WMAB #3/04
June 25	10:00 a.m.	Authority #6/04

JULY 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Jul. 9	10:00 a.m.	Executive #6/04
Jul. 9	11:00 a.m.	SCB #4/04
Jul. 16	10:30 a.m.	WMAB #4/04
Jul. 23	10:00 a.m.	Authority #7/04

AUGUST 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Aug. 6	10:00 a.m.	Executive #7/04

SEPTEMBER 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Sept. 10	10:00 a.m.	Executive #8/04
Sept. 17	9:00 a.m.	BEAB #4/04
Sept. 17	10:30 a.m.	WMAB #5/04
Sept. 24	10:00 a.m.	Authority #8/04

OCTOBER 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Oct. 1	10:00 a.m.	Executive #9/04
Oct. 1	11:00 a.m.	SCB #4/04
Oct. 15	9:00 a.m.	BEAB #5/04
Oct. 15	10:30 a.m.	WMAB #6/04
Oct. 29	10:00 a.m.	Authority #9/04

NOVEMBER 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Nov. 5	9:00 a.m.	BEAB #6/04
Nov. 5	10:00 a.m.	Executive #10/04
Nov. 26	10:00 a.m.	Authority #10/04

DECEMBER 2004	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Dec. 3	10:00 a.m. - Humber Room	Executive #11/04
Dec. 3	11:00 a.m. - Humber Room	SCB #5/04
Dec. 10	10:00 a.m. - Humber Room	WMAB #7/04

JANUARY 2005	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Jan. 7	10:00 a.m.	Authority #11/04
Jan. 14	9:00 a.m.	BEAB #7/04
Jan. 14	10:00 a.m.	Executive #12/04
Jan. 28	10:00 a.m.	Authority #12/04

FEBRUARY 2005	TIME	DESCRIPTION
Feb. 4	10:00 a.m.	Executive #13/04
Feb. 4	11:00 a.m.	SCB #6/04
Feb. 11	10:30 a.m.	WMAB #8/04
Feb. 25	10:30 a.m.	ANNUAL Authority #1/05

Legend: Full Authority (Authority)
Executive Committee (Executive)
Business Excellence Advisory Board (BEAB)
Watershed Management and Business Development Advisory Board (WMAB)
Sustainable Communities Board (SCB)

- All meetings will be held in the South Theatre, Visitor's Centre, Black Creek Pioneer Village, 1000 Murray Ross Parkway, Downsview, Ontario, unless otherwise noted on the agenda.
- Full Authority and Executive meetings will be held at 10:00 a.m., unless otherwise noted on the agenda.
- BEAB, WMAB, and SCB meetings will be held at 9:00, 10:30 & 11:30 a.m., respectively, unless otherwise noted on the agenda.

For further information, please contact Kathy Stranks at 416-661-6600, extension 5264, or Andrea Fennell at 416-667-6281.

TO: Chair and Members of the Authority
Meeting #10/03, January 9, 2004

FROM: Brian Denney, Chief Administrative Officer

RE: **RULES OF CONDUCT**
Amendment

KEY ISSUE
Amend the Rules of Conduct with respect to the references to names of the Boards reporting to the Authority and the governance of Advisory Boards.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT a definition of an advisory board be added to Section I of the Rules of Conduct of the Authority;

THAT Sections III and V of the Rules of Conduct of the Authority be amended such that all references to the "Finance and Business Development Advisory Board" and the "Public Use Advisory Board" be changed to the "Business Excellence Advisory Board" and the "Sustainable Communities Board", respectively;

AND FURTHER THAT matters for consideration of the above-noted advisory boards be amended in Section V of the Rules of Conduct of the Authority, to be in keeping with the approved Terms of Reference for the Advisory Boards, dated September 26, 2003.

BACKGROUND
At Authority Meeting #4/03, held on May 16, 2003, Resolution #A103/03 was approved in part as follows:

> *AND FURTHER THAT effective for the Advisory Board meetings commencing in September, 2003 that the "Finance and Business Development Advisory Board" be renamed the "Business Excellence Advisory Board" and the "Public Use Advisory Board" be renamed the "Sustainable Communities Advisory Board" and that the scope and nature of staff reports to the respective boards be adjusted accordingly.*

It was determined by staff that the name "Sustainable Communities Advisory Board" was not appropriate and as such was amended to be the "Sustainable Communities Board".

At Authority Meeting #7/03, held on September 26, 2003, Resolution #A178/03 was approved in part as follows:

> *AND FURTHER THAT the amended Terms of Reference for the Business Excellence Advisory Board, the Sustainable Communities Board and the Watershed Management Advisory Board outlined in the staff report be approved.*

The Rules of Conduct for the Authority were adopted on June 12, 1986, with the most recent amendments made at Authority Meeting #3/02, held on March 22, 2002. Any changes in Authority governance requires an update to the Rules of Conduct be adopted by the Authority.

Resolution #A103/03 and A178/03 cause changes to be made to Section III and V of the Rules of Conduct, with respect to Board names and delegated responsibilities. As a result of the elimination of the word "Advisory" from the Sustainable Communities Board, a definition for an advisory board to include this board needs to be established in Section I of the Rules of Conduct for the Authority.

The required changes to Sections III and V of the current Rules of Conduct of the Authority occur in subsections 13, 16, 27 and 29 and are outlined in Attachment 1. The additional definition is included below in Section I, subsection 1.4.

Report prepared by: Kathy Stranks, extension 5264
Date: December 15, 2003
Attachments: 1

Attachment 1

RULES FOR THE CONDUCT OF THE AUTHORITY 2002

As adopted by Resolution #34 of Meeting #2/86 of the Authority, held on the 21st day of March, 1986; as amended by Resolution #75 and #76 of Meeting #4/86 of the Authority, held on the 13th day of June, 1986; as amended by Resolution #130 of Meeting #5/87 of the Authority, held on the 24th day of July, 1987; as amended by Resolution #200 of Meeting #6/91, held on the 6th day of September, 1991; as amended by Resolution #282 of Meeting #9/91 of the Authority, held on January 10, 1992; as amended by Resolution #64 of Meeting #2/92 of the Authority, held on March 27, 1992; as amended by Resolution #335 of Meeting #13/95 of the Authority, held on February 23, 1996; as amended by Resolution #A286 of Meeting #12/96 of the Authority, held on January 24, 1997; as amended by Resolution #A23 of Meeting #1/99 of the Authority, held on January 29, 1999; as amended by Res.#A6/02 of Meeting #1/02 of the Authority, held on January 25, 2002, and as further amended by Res.#A61/02 of Meeting #3/02 of the Authority, held on March 22, 2002.

SECTION I - DEFINITIONS

1. In these Rules:

    1.1 "Authority" means The Toronto and Region Conservation Authority;

    1.2 "Act" means the Conservation Authorities Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 27, as amended.

    1.3 “Roll call vote” means a recorded vote.

    1.4 "Advisory Board" means any or all of the following:

        (a) Business Excellence Advisory Board

        (b) Sustainable Communities Board

        (c) Watershed Management Advisory Board

SECTION III - ELECTIONS AND APPOINTMENTS

13. Notwithstanding paragraph 11 of these Rules, the order of the election of the Chairs and Vice Chairs of the Advisory Boards shall be:

    13.1 the Chair of the Business Excellence Advisory Board;

    13.2 the Chair of the Watershed Management Advisory Board; and

    13.3 the Chair of the Sustainable Communities Board;

    13.4 the Vice-Chairs of the Advisory Boards shall follow the same order.

SECTION V - ADVISORY BOARDS AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES

16. There shall be three (3) Advisory Boards as follows:

    16.1 Business Excellence;

    16.2 Watershed Management; and

    16.3 Sustainable Communities.

27. The Business Excellence Advisory Board shall consider and make recommendations to the Executive Committee and the Authority on all matters relating to internal organizational development and corporate policies relating to essential services, land management of TRCA lands and facilities and administrative management for the TRCA.

29. The Sustainable Communities Board shall consider and make recommendations to the Authority on all matters relating to community outreach and leadership development towards the sustainable communities objective of The Living City.