

Comments Received

Don Mouth Naturalization & Port Lands Flood Protection EA public meeting May 9, 2009

Responder	Are you comfortable with the draft plans presented? Why or why not?	What opportunities for refinements, if any, would you suggest?	Any other comments?
1	Extraordinary	No comment	No comment
2	Yes- the flood control plan sounds very challenging! The images on the role of nature and clarification are very good. The flood control animation images are amazing and make the project easy to visualize.	No comment	First class presentations. Kudos to all involved for simplifying the complex technologies involved!
3	No, not enough width of flow channel south to Ship Channel. Not enough impacts over to (Ashbridges) Bay and Leslie St. Need a panel board of this south of Ship Channel. There needs to be connection (of) terrestrial and aquatic (habitat) <u>through</u> Lake Ontario Park. Worry about wet weather flow from upper Don. Not enough discussion or data. Do not like the combined sewer outflow storage in tanks. We need plans to correct CSOs.	More info on fish habitat and the river function. Wildlife migration corridor. Cut CSOs.	No comment
4	Fairly comfortable, as I don't know much about the technical requirements.	Nothing in particular.	No comment
5	Yes	No comment	No comment
6	Still need confirmation of commitments to these significant interdependent initiatives. Looking forward to Fall 2009 presentation.	Very clearly – entire watershed must be addressed (as noted) re: water quality, flood mitigation.	No comment
7	Yes. Again, the levels of creativity and detailed consideration of the many critical issues is commendable. I am very interested in aquatic and terrestrial habitat features that take advantage of the varying water levels when river or lake changes create microclimatic niche opportunities. Your team seems to be looking at all these opportunities – working toward net gain scenarios in <u>all</u> climatic conditions.	Planning for interpretation and educational opportunities – especially given the ground breaking nature of the work being done – should start as early as possible.	No comment
8	Good work.	Don Narrows – big challenge and continued problems! You seem to be aware and take the possible measures.	No comment
9	No comment	No comment	Interesting to hear it actually said out loud that water levels in the Great Lakes are lowering. A scary long-term prospect! (We are witnessing water levels lowering in Georgian Bay). Congratulations on the whole effort!
10	Absolutely yes. This makes a lot of sense and I have a feeling this will be a beautiful part of the city.	No comment	No comment
11	Yes.	Dredging river south of Riverdale Park Bridge so (it) could be used for canoes, kayaks, etc.	No comment
12	Generally yes. The science and statistical calculations I have no doubt been well	A number of other studies are currently underway in regards	Ideally I would start with the Don River Re-development

	<p>researched by accounts based on the information available today.</p> <p>Having made this statement it would be good to see some of the reports generated to show the flows and the maintenance.</p> <p>I might suggest an added feature to deal with a huge 200 year downfall or an ice jam blockage. Why not install 3 – 8’ diameter pipes under the bottom channel armor liner system. The pipes could be outfitted with a booster chamber with massive super charged force main pumps. In the event they are ever required they could increase the flow of the river substantially. The approximate increased discharge could be in the order of _____ (SIC) m<sup>3</sup>. If a suitable screening device can be installed and reasonably maintained the pumps would be switched on four times a year for ongoing maintenance reliability.</p> <p>Tokyo has a somewhat similar problem and has done this to avoid flooding. This might overcome a problem raised in the comments section under Item 1.</p>	<p>to this redevelopment as shown on the presentation. Until those studies are fully completed it is difficult to comment at this time. I suggest as the studies become available they be uploaded for further review and the refinements may come then.</p>	<p>with some sort of budget perspective/timeline would be involved on that aspect of the project first.</p>
13	<p>Our clients support the ambitious undertakings for the removal of the flood plain within the Central Waterfront.</p> <p>Our recommendation is that to ensure public confidence in the undertaking that there be a clearly communicated business plan presented as to the manner in which the funding of these capitol works will proceed.</p>	<p>At present our clients will continue to monitor the process.</p>	<p>Our clients will continue to participate in the stakeholder process and review the Environmental Study Reports when they are released.</p>